Program Assessment Plan

Program: Environmental Horticulture Science
Department: Plant Sciences & Plant Pathology

College: Agriculture

Prepared by: Tracy Dougher & Bill Hoch

Phone: 6772

Email: tracyaod@montana.edu

Created: 8-Aug-14

Updated:

When completed, email to Ron Larsen at ronl@montana.edu.

- 1 have the content knowledge required to be successful in a horticulture field.
- 2 have the skills needed to be able to function successfully in their horticulture field. be able to identify and analyze plant growth problems and develop solutions or
- 3 strategies to solve those problems.
- 4 be able to communicate written and verbally effectively.
- 5 be able to design a plant experiment and analyze data

	Outcome			ne		
Course	1	2	3	4	5	Assignments Used for Assessment to be developed
BIOB 170IN - Principles of Biological Diversity						
BIOB 110CS - Principles of Living Systems						
HORT 105 - Miracle Growing	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1		
HORT 231 - Woody Ornamentals	- 1	D	- 1			
HORT 232 - Herbaceous Ornamentals	-1	D	- 1			
HORT 245 - Plant Propagation	D	D		D*	-1	3-case study question
BIOO 220 - General Botany						
HORT 310 - Turfgrass Management	- 1	D	D			3-case study question
HORT 343 - Commercial Plant Production	D	D	D			3-case study question
HORT 447 - Advanced Plant Propagation	D	M	М	М*	D	
HORT 485R - Horticulture Captstone I	M			М*	M	4 -written proposal
HORT 486R - Horticulture Captsone II	M			M*	M	4-research presentation, 5-research presen 1-content knowledge test
BIOM 421 - Concepts of Plant Pathology						
BIOO 433 - Plant Physiology						
HORT 498 - Internship	M*	M*	M*	M*		2-skills questions on cooperator and student evaluations
	*outcome is not explicitly stated in the learning outcomes but is currently part of the course, will include changes during 2014-2015					

Performance Thresholds

Outcome	Threshold
1	70% of students score at the "acceptable" or higher level.
2	70% of students score at the "acceptable" or higher level.
3	70% of students score at the "acceptable" or higher level.
4	70% of students score at the "acceptable" or higher level.
5	70% of students score at the "acceptable" or higher level.

Schedules

Program Learning Outcome Assessment Schedule

		Cycle 1		Cycle 2			
Outcome	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
1	Х			Χ			
2	Χ			Χ			
3		Χ			Χ		
4			Χ			Χ	
5			Χ			Χ	

Assessment Process Review Schedule

		Cycle 1		Cycle 2		
Element	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Outcomes	Х			Х		
Rubrics		Χ			Χ	
Curriculum Map			Χ			Χ
Schedules			Χ			Χ

Annual Assessment Process

- Data is collected from identified courses.
- 2
- Random samples of collected assignments are scored by two faculty members using prepared scoring rubrics.

 The assessment coordinator tabulates the scores. Areas where the acceptable performance threshold has not been met are highlighted. 3
- The scores are presented to the faculty for assessment. 4
- 5 The faculty reviews the assessment results, and makes decisions on how to respond.
 - If an acceptable performance threshold has not been met, a faculty response is required. Possible responses:
 - Gather additional data next year to verify or refute the result.
 - Change something in the curriculum to try to fix the problem.
 - Change the acceptable performance threshold.
 - Choose a different assignment to assess the outcome.
 - ${\color{red}^{*}} \quad \text{Faculty can respond to assessment results even if the acceptable performance threshold has been met.} \\$
 - It is OK to determine that changes are not needed when students are demonstrating proficiency with each learning outcome.
- A summary of the year's assessment activities and faculty decisions is reported to the Provost's Office in your Department's Annual Assessment Activities report.